

## REFERENCES

- Berenbaum, M. 1986. Postingestive effects of phytochemicals on insects: On Paracelsus and plant products. pp.121-153. In: J.R. Miller and T.A. Miller (eds.). *Insect-Plant Interactions*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Freedman, B., L.J. Nowak, W.F. Kwolek, E.C. Berry and W.D. Guthrie. 1979. A bioassay for plant-derived pest control agents using the European corn borer. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 72: 541-545.
- Harper, J.D. 1970. Laboratory production of *Peridroma saucia* and its nuclear polyhedrosis virus. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 63: 1633-1634.
- Isman, M.B. and P. Proksch. 1985. Deterrent and insecticidal chromenes and benzofurans from *Encelia* (Asteraceae). *Phytochem.* 24: 1949-1951.
- Isman, M.B. and E. Rodriguez. 1983. Larval growth inhibitors from species of *Parthenium* (Asteraceae). *Phytochem.* 22: 2709-2713.
- Pashley, D.P., S.S. Quisenberry and T. Jamjanya. 1987. Impact of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains on the evaluation of Bermuda grass resistance. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 80: 1127-1120.
- Simonet, D.E., S.L. Clement, W.L. Rubink and R.W. Rings. 1981. Temperature requirements for development and oviposition of *Peridroma saucia* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Can. Ent.* 113: 891-897.
- Salloum, G.S. and M.B. Isman. 1988. Crude extracts of asteraceous weeds: growth inhibitors for the variegated cutworm. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, press.

## FORAGING BEHAVIOR OF HONEY BEES ON MANCHURIAN CRABAPPLE AND RED DELICIOUS APPLE<sup>1</sup>

D.F. MAYER AND J.D. LUNDEN  
DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, IAREC  
PROSSER, WA 99350

### Abstract

'Manchurian' crabapple pollinizer trees bloomed several days before red 'Delicious' trees. Of the honey bees collecting nectar, 98% foraged from the top of 'Manchurian' flowers but only 44% topworked 'Delicious' flowers. Topworkers spent less time per flower on 'Manchurian' than on 'Delicious'. Individual bees foraging from the side of the flower on 'Delicious' spent even less time per flower than topworkers.

### INTRODUCTION

'Delicious' apple (*Malus sylvestris* Mill.) requires cross-pollination before setting fruit, so suitable pollinizer varieties must be planted throughout the orchard. Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) pollinators are recommended for pollen transfer between varieties. The number and placement of pollinizer trees required for best production are largely determined by the foraging habits of honeybees, which tend to work along tree rows rather than cross the aisle spaces (Mayer *et al.*, 1986). Good pollinizers must bloom at the same time and have pollen compatible with the main variety. In addition, bee behavior must be compatible between varieties.

Pollinizers planted as every third tree in every third row ensure that each main-variety tree is adjacent to a pollinizer but minimizes the number of pollinizers. Having every second tree in every row a pollinizer ensures maximum pollination, but is not economically practical.

Pollinizers take up usable production space in the orchard. An alternative planting arrangement being tested in apple orchards uses flowering crabapples. (Williams and Church, 1983; Mayer *et al.* 1986). Crabapple pollinizers are planted between main variety trees every 72 to 120 m in each row with adjacent rows offset. They take up minimal space and their sole function is to provide pollen. Honey bee behavior on crabapple pollinizers and main varieties must be compatible for maximum pollination. The objective of this study was to compare the foraging behavior of honeybees on 'Manchurian' crabapple and 'Delicious'.

<sup>1</sup>Scientific Paper No. 7704, Washington State University, College of Agriculture and Home Economics Research Center. Work done under Project 0742.



### Results and Discussion

On 'Manchurian' crabapple, most of the nectar collectors were topworkers (Table 1). This behavior remained consistent as bloom progressed (Fig. 1). Honeybees learn to sidework, taking nectar without touching the stigma and a pollinizer variety may contribute to a higher than normal percent of sideworkers on 'Delicious' (Robinson, 1979). Therefore, a flower shape and structure that encourages topworkers is desirable in a pollinizer since topworkers contact the stigma and accomplish pollination (Free, 1970). 'Manchurian' possesses this character.

On 'Delicious,' less than half of the nectar collectors were topworkers (Table 1) and as bloom progressed, the number of topworking bees decreased (Fig 1). Other observers have noted increases in sideworking behavior as bloom progresses, and we found our ratio of topworkers to be comparable to theirs (Kuhn and Ambrose, 1982; DeGrandi-Hoffman *et al.*, 1985).

Percent pollen collectors was variable between years for both cultivars although the overall means were not significantly different (Table 1). We suspect the ratio of pollen collectors to be determined by the amount of brood in a colony, which varies from year to year, rather than by the crop. We observed an increase in percent pollen collectors on 'Delicious' but little change on 'Manchurian' crabapple as bloom progressed (Fig. 1). On 'Delicious', DeGrandi-Hoffman *et al.* (1985) reported fewer pollen collectors as bloom progressed while Kuhn and Ambrose (1982) observed no changes.

The times required for sideworkers to take nectar, pollen collectors to work a flower, and one nectar collector to visit five flowers was not significantly different between 'Manchurian' crabapple and 'Delicious' (Table 1). We are aware of no other reports on time requirements for these events. There was little difference in these aspects of bee behavior between the two cultivars, except that topworkers worked faster on 'Manchurian' crabapple than on 'Delicious'. 'Manchurian' crabapple did not contribute to any adverse bee behavior.

The times for top- and sideworkers to work a flower were not significantly different on 'Manchurian' crabapple, but were on 'Delicious'. Sideworkers on 'Delicious' took about half the time to work a flower as topworkers (Table 1). Kuhn and Ambrose (1982) suggested that the predominance of sideworkers on 'Delicious' may be due to less energy expenditure needed for this type of nectar collecting. We suggest that sideworkers are more efficient since they can collect nectar faster than topworkers.

'Manchurian' crabapple generally blooms several days ahead of 'Delicious'. This is a desirable pollinizer characteristic. In 1982, it was at 20% bloom 10 days earlier than 'Delicious', but after the 'Manchurian' crabapple trees were more than two years old, the difference was only three days. 'Manchurian' crabapple trees had more bloom and more bees foraging than 'Delicious' trees (Table 2). The peak number of bee foragers coincided with full (90%) bloom.

Differences in bee behavior and bloom dates between 'Manchurian' crabapple and 'Delicious' were observed. None of these events appeared detrimental to the use of 'Manchurian' crabapple as a pollinizer for 'Delicious'.

Table 2. Comparison of blooming dates of 'Manchurian' crabapple and 'Delicious' apple.

Year	<u>20% bloom open</u>		<u>90% bloom open</u>		<u>80% petal fall</u>	
	Manchurian	Red Delicious	Manchurian	Red Delicious	Manchurian	Red Delicious
1982	5/1 (0)*	5/11 (0.3)	5/11 (31)	5/14 (2)	5/16 (6)	5/17 (5)
1983	4/27 (18)	4/30 (3)	5/3 (27)	5/3 (10)	5/7 (11)	5/7 (7)
1984	5/7 (5)	5/10 (6)	5/12 (28)	5/14 (9)	5/15 (23)	5/8 (4)

\*Numbers in brackets are number of honey bees per tree per minute.

Table 1. Foraging behavior of honeybees on 'Manchurian' crabapple and 'Delicious' apple.

Year	Activity (%)						Time (seconds)				
	Nectar collectors		Total foragers		Nectar collectors		Nectar collectors		Pollen collectors	1 bee to visit 5 flowers	
	top working	side working	Nectar collectors	Pollen collectors	top working	side working					
'Manchurian' crabapple											
1982	99	1	66	34	8.1	7.0	9.8	---			
1983	98	2	86	14	9.1	6.3	14.8	58			
1984	96	4	83	17	7.9	7.3	8.4	54			
Mean	98a	2a	78a	22a	8.4a	6.9a	11a	56a			
'Delicious'											
1982	46	54	60	40	12.1	6.5	9.9	---			
1983	38	62	85	15	11.2	6.4	12.0	55			
1984	49	51	69	31	13.0	6.9	10.3	46			
Mean	44b	56b	71a	29a	12.1b	6.6a	10.7a	51a			

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \geq 0.05$ ); Duncan's [1951] multiple range test.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the Washington Tree Fruit Research Commission for making this research possible.

## REFERENCES

- DeGrandi-Hoffman, G., R. Hoppingarner, and K.K. Baker. 1985. The influence of honeybee "sideworking" behavior on cross-pollination and fruit set in apple. *HortSci.* 20(3): 397-99.
- Duncan, D.B. 1951. A significance test for differences between marked treatments in an analysis of variance. *VA J. Sci.* 2: 171-189.
- Free, J.B. 1970. *Insect pollination of crops.* Academic Press, New York.
- Kuhm, E.D., and J.T. Ambrose. 1982. Foraging behavior of honeybees on 'Golden Delicious' and 'Delicious' apple. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 107(3): 391-395.
- Robinson, W.S. 1979. Effect of apple cultivar on foraging behavior and pollen transfer by honey bees. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 104(5): 596-598.
- Robinson, W.S., and R.D. Fell. 1981. Effect of honeybee foraging behaviors on 'Delicious' apple set and development. *HortSci.* 16: 326-328.
- Mayer, D.F., C.A. Johansen, and D.M. Burgett. 1986. Bee pollination of tree fruits. PNW Coop. Ext. PNW 0282. 10 pp.
- Williams, R.R., and R.M. Church. 1983. Growth and flowering of ornamental *Malus* pollinators in apple orchards. *J.Hort. Sci.* 58 (3): 337-342.

**LIFE HISTORY AND COLD STORAGE OF  
*AMBLYSEIUS CUCUMERIS* (ACARINA:PHYTOSEIIDAE)**

DAVID R. GILLESPIE  
AGRICULTURE CANADA, RESEARCH STATION  
AGASSIZ, B.C. V0M 1A0

AND

CAROL A. RAMEY  
DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA  
VICTORIA, B.C.

Contribution No. 366, Agriculture Canada, Research Station,  
P.O. Box 1000, Agassiz, British Columbia, Canada V0M 1A0

**Abstract**

Details were determined for the life history of the phytoseiid mite, *Amblyseius cucumeris*, with first-instar western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*, as prey. *A. cucumeris* completed development in 11.09, 8.74 and 6.25 days at 20, 25 and 30°C respectively. This is slightly longer than reported for *A. cucumeris* by other authors using eggs of *Tetranychus* mites as prey. The mean egg production was  $1.5 \pm 0.99$  eggs per day. In cold storage tests, after 10 weeks, 63% of *A. cucumeris* survived at 9°C, 1.2% survived at 2°C and 0% survived at -8°C.

**INTRODUCTION**

The predatory mite, *Amblyseius cucumeris* Oudemans (Acarina:Phytoseiidae), is a potential biological agent for various species of thrips (Thysanoptera) on greenhouse cucumbers and peppers (Ramakers 1983; De Klerk & Ramakers 1986). Previous work on the biology and life history of *A. cucumeris* was done using eggs of various tetranychid mite species (eg. El-Badry & Zaher, 1961, Kolodochka 1985). This did not allow for possibly different effects